

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, APRIL 4, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S096349 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

Edward Charles Willis, Defendant and Appellant

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is reversed and the cause remanded to that court for disposition of defendant's remaining appellate issues.

Chin, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Brown, J.

Moreno, J.

S009038 In re Robert M. Sanger on Contempt

People, Respondent

v.

Richard Dean Turner, Appellant

The time for granting or denying a rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including June 12, 2002, or the date upon which a rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

2nd Dist. Gary Friedman, Petitioner

B157312 v.

Div. 5 Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

S105602 People, Real Party in Interest

Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

A091872/S104312 Melanie Stapper v. GMI Holdings Incorporated – May 15, 2002.

A094853/S104126 People v. Steve Eng – May 6, 2002.

A096926/S104340 American Dental Association v. San Francisco County Superior Court; Kids Against Pollution et al. , RPI – May 5, 2002.

B154110/S104476 California Insurance Guarantee Association v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board and David Novak et al. – May 15, 2002.

D035933/S104075 People v. Brian Anthony Mason et al. – May 16, 2002.

D037543/S104354 Citizens for Jobs and the Economy et al. v. County of Orange; El Toro Reuse Planning Authority – May 14, 2002.

S012279 People, Respondent
v.

David Allan Lucas, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Thomas Lundy's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 31, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 3, 2002. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 150 additional days are contemplated.

S014664 People, Respondent
v.

Mario Lewis Gray, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark A. Borenstein's representation that he anticipates filing the reply brief by June 15, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in

which to file that brief is granted to May 24, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 22 additional days is contemplated.

S019697 People, Respondent

v.

Carman Lee Ward, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert Franklin Howell's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 1, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 3, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 59 additional days is contemplated.

S028970 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Stitely, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including June 3, 2002.

S032146 People, Respondent

v.

Joseph Martin Danks, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Lloyd G. Carter's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent brief by June 8, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 10, 2002. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S032832 People, Respondent

v.

Omar Fuentes Martinez, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Kathy M. Chavez's representation that she anticipates filing appellant's reply brief by May 31, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 31, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S051342 People, Respondent

v.

John Cunningham, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard P. Stookey's representation that he anticipates filing the request for correction of the record by September 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to request correction of the record in the superior court is granted to May 24, 2002. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days are contemplated.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S059653 People, Respondent

v.

Jeffrey Jon Mills, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jim Fahey's representation that he anticipates filing the request for correction of the record by June 17, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to request correction of the record in the superior court is granted to June 17, 2002. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S060500 People, Respondent

v.

Jonathan Daniel D'Arcy, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Jerry D. Whatley's representation that he anticipates filing the request for correction of the record by April 24, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to request correction of the record in the superior court is granted to April 24, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S062180 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Valdez, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Raoul L. Schoneman's representation that he anticipates filing the request for correction of the record by November 1, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to request correction of the record in the superior court is granted to May 28, 2002. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 157 additional days are contemplated.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S090162 In re Armenia Levi Cudjo, Jr.

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the reply to informal response is granted to May 6, 2002. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted.

S093369 In re Martin James Kipp

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Randall D. Einhorn's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response by May 17, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 6, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 11 additional days is contemplated.

S094239 In re Michael Lamont Jones

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon William Flenniken, Jr.'s representation that he anticipates filing the reply to informal response by May 13, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 13, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S099616 In re Randall Scott Cash
 on
 Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the the reply to informal response is granted to April 15, 2002. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling 90 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record of this schedule, and take all steps necessary to meet this schedule.

S100932 In re Barry Glenn Williams
 on
 Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender William H. Forman's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to informal response by April 30, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 30, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S104589 People, Respondent
 v.
 Phillip L. Lucero, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Vincent L. Rabago's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response by August 2, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 2, 2002. After that date, only three further extensions totaling 91 additional days are contemplated.

S104807 In re Cynthia Lynn Coffman
 on
 Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Pamela A. Ratner's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response by September 16, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 3, 2002. After that date, only five further extensions totaling 136 additional days are contemplated.

S094710 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Neal Anderson, Appellant

The application of appellant for permission to file a supplemental brief on the merits is hereby granted.

An answer thereto may be served and filed by the Attorney General with fifteen (15) days of the filing of the brief.

3rd Dist. Independent Energy Producers Assoc.
C040600

v.

Public Utilities Commission et al.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S014497 People, Respondent

v.

Dennis Harold Lawley, Appellant

In the above-entitled matter the court filed its decision on January 24, 2002, because of the following extraordinary and compelling circumstances:

1. The length of the record (4,618 clerk's transcript pages and 4,168 reporter's transcript pages).
2. The need to grant six extensions of time to file appellant's opening brief, eight extensions of time to file respondent's brief, and eight extensions of time to file appellant's reply brief.
3. The number and complexity of the issues presented.

Bar In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners
Misc. of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

4186

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

